UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/541,250	07/12/2006	Fouad El-Baroudi	0617-1004 4947		
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 12/08/200 <b>OMPSON</b>	EXAMINER			
209 Madison St	treet	BORIN, MICHAEL L			
Suite 500 Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1631	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/08/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/541,250	EL-BAROUDI, FOUAD
Zxammer midated interview Cammary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Borin	1631
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Michael Borin</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James J. Livingston</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Claim 1, with language of claim 7 incorporated into claim 1		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Michael Borin/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1631	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner requested assistance in reconciling the amended claim language of claim 1, namely, how the language of claim 7, now incorporated into claim 1, is correlated with the other method steps. The councel agreed that the relation is not clear and that the applicant would provide a substantive response. Further, examiner pointed out that upon further consideration more instances of indefinite language in the claims would require additional rejections.